From: Andrea Morrow

To: <u>Michael Honeycutt</u>; <u>Emily Lindley</u>

Cc: Gray, David; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additions- please review

Date: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:11:01 PM

Do we know when that will begin? That will make my life a lot easier!;)

From: Michael Honeycutt

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:08 PM

To: Emily Lindley

Cc: Gray, David; Andrea Morrow; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additions- please review

Could also add TCEQ will soon begin daily updates on air quality that will be available on hurricane webpage.

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:06 PM, Emily Lindley < Emily.Lindley@tceq.texas.gov wrote:

What about adding this info in? It's pretty good.

As of Saturday, September 2, over 88 percent of monitors are up and working again in Corpus Christi, 85 percent in Houston, and 36 percent in Beaumont; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week. Of the available air monitoring data collected from August 24-September 2, 2017, all measured concentrations were well below levels of health concern. Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning, and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm.

Anyone is welcome to disagree! Just my opinion. It's going in the other statement.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:57 AM, Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov> wrote:

I should have the information about on the ground monitoring around cosby in a few minutes

Sent from my iPhone

David, can you give me a description of what the TAGA bus does?

This is a response to the Associated Press questions:

- 1) We have been told EPA is doing air monitoring at the Arkema plant in Crosby. Can you tell me what your monitoring has found? What chemicals in what concentrations? Where are you doing the monitoring exactly, with what instruments? 2) Your data shows multiple ozone and PM monitoring stations in Houston were knocked out during the story. Was it indeed more than half of the ozone monitors? When do you expect them to be fixed and back online? 3) Are EPA/TCEQ monitoring air quality around Houston petrochemical plants and refineries to look for potential health and safety problems? Have they deployed any mobile air monitors? (I gather these are EPA crews working in coordination with TCEQ?) If so, what have they found in the last few days near the petrochemical plants around the ship channel? If they haven't been monitoring, why not? The startup and shutdown operations typically produce heavier emissions of airborne contaminants, as we know.
 - 2) Other than ozone and PM10 and PM2.5 are you monitoring for any other specific compounds?
 - 3) What are the state of Texas and the EPA doing to monitor public health near the petrochemical plants and refineries given the extraordinary shutdown and startup pollution and the possibility of contaminants released into their neighborhoods? Will there be health monitoring? If so, by whom? If not, why not?

From: Emily Lindley

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:48 AM

To: Ryan Vise

Cc: Andrea Morrow; Gray, David; Michael Honeycutt; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson **Subject:** Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA

additions- please review

I added the word Arkema at the end of the 1st paragraph. I think we need to say what the TAGA bus is and what it does. I like that we got that in there. Just need to explain to the public more.

Just so I'm straight is this part of the larger statement from this morning? Or something different?

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:44 AM, Ryan Vise Ryan.Vise@Tceq.Texas.Gov> wrote:

I'm good with the language

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:43 AM, Andrea Morrow < Andrea. Morrow@tceq.texas.gov > wrote:

I've heard from Cory, David, and Mike. OCE are you ok with the start-up/shut-down language? Lori, Emily, Ryan, any changes?

Air Quality

Monitoring: Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local

residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up and running again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up and working again; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week. EPA has its surveillance aircraft conducting air monitoring for the Arkema plant fire. Also, EPA's mobile air monitoring TAGA bus will be in Houston to assist with air monitoring as well.

Emergency response monitoring at the Arkema facility evacuation perimeter is being conducted. We will make those data available as we are able. So far, nothing of immediate health concern has been detected.

The same rules apply for startup, shut-down activities however delays may occur based upon factors related to the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.).

From: Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov>

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017

11:38 AM

To: Michael Honeycutt **Cc:** Andrea Morrow; Richard

Chism; Ryan Vise; Susan Johnson;

Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson; Emily

Lindley

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions - please review

Feel free to add that EPA has its surveillance aircraft conducting air monitoring for the plant fire. Also, our mobile air monitoring TAGA bus will be in Houston to assist with air monitoring.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:35 AM, Michael Honeycutt <<u>Michael.Honeycutt@tceq.texas.gov</u>> wrote:

Ah. Missed that.

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Andrea Morrow <<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>> wrote: He dropped the ozone question,

Mike.

From:

Michael Honeycutt

Sent:

Sunday,

September

3, 2017

11:32

AM

To:

Andrea

Morrow

Cc:

Richard

Chism;

Ryan

Vise;

David

Gray

(gray.david@epa.gov);

Susan

Johnson;

Tracy

Miller;

Lori

Wilson;

Emily

Lindley

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

to AP

questions - please review On the ozone blurb, you could add that TCEQ and EPA send ozone notifications like we always do to subscribers of our notification systems. There was nothing unusual about this notification. On Sep 3, 2017, at

11:28

AM,

Andrea

Morrow

<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

wrote:

Okay, what do you all think of this: Air Quality **Monitoring:** Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects

of the storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems

up

and
running
again.
As
of
Saturday,
September

2, over 70

percent

of the

monitors

are

up

and

working

again;

and

authorities

expect

that

the

network

will

be

fully

operational

again

by

next

week.

Emergency

response

monitoring

at

the

Arkema

facility

evacuation

perimeter

is

being

conducted.

We

will

make

those

data

available

as

we

are

able.

So

far,

nothing

of

immediate

health

concern

has

been

detected.

The

same

rules

apply

for

start-

up,

shut-

down

activities

however

delays

may

occur

based

upon factors related to the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.).

From:

Michael Honeycutt

Sent:

Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:23 AM

To:

Richard Chism; Andrea Morrow

Cc:

Ryan Vise; David Gray

(gray.david@epa.gov); Susan

Johnson;

Tracy Miller

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

tc

ΑP

questions

_

please

review

You

could

add

that

we

are

doing

emergency

response

monitoring

at

the

Arkema

facility

evacuation

perimeter

and

will

make

that

data

available

as

we

have

time.

```
So
far,
nothing
of
immediate
health
concern
has
been
detected.
From:
Richard
Chism
Sent:
Sunday,
September
3,
2017
11:19:57
AM
To:
Andrea
Morrow
Cc:
Ryan
Vise;
David
Gray
(gray.david@epa.gov);
Michael
Honeycutt;
Susan
Johnson;
Tracy
Miller
Subject:
Re:
Proposed
```

response

to ΑP questions please review This is directly from the draft joint response this morning. You can use it. Air Quality **Monitoring:** Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local residents should not

be

concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before

the storm.

Since

then, state authorities

are

working

to

get

the

systems

up

and

running

again.

As

of

Saturday,

September

2,

over

70

percent

of

the

monitors

are

up

and

working

again;

and

authorities

expect

that

the

network

will

be

fully

operational

again

by

next

```
week.
Sent
from
my
iPhone
On
Sep
3,
2017,
at
11:14
AM,
Andrea
Morrow
<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
wrote:
     Which
     is
     correct,
     65%
     or
     this:
     Air
     Quality
     Monitoring:
     One
     of
     the
     many
     preparations
     for
     Hurricane
     Harvey
     included
     EPA,
```

TCEQ,

and

other

monitoring

entities

temporarily

removing

approximately

75

percent

of

the

stationary

air

monitoring

equipment

from

the

greater

Houston,

Corpus

Christi,

and

Beaumont

areas.

Since

then,

state

and

local

authorities

are

working

to

get

the

systems

up

and

running

again.

As

of

Saturday,

September

2,

over

70

percent

of

the

monitors

are

up

and

working

again;

and

authorities

expect

that

the

network

will

be

fully

operational

again

by

next

week.

Of

the

available

air

monitoring

data

collected

from

August

24-

September

2,

2017,

all

measured

concentrations

were

well

below

levels

of

health

concern.

Monitors

are

showing

that

air

quality

at

this

time

is

not

concerning

and

local

residents

should

not

be

concerned

about

air

quality

issues

related

to

the

effects

of

the

storm.

From: Ryan Vise Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:07 AM To: Andrea Morrow Cc: David Gray (gray.david@epa.gov); Richard Chism; Michael Honeycutt; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller Subject: Re: Proposed response to ΑP questions

> please review

I'm good with these answers.

Sent from my

iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:06 AM,

Andrea

Morrow

<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

wrote:

FYI,
Cory.
He
has
deleted
the
third
question
because
he
understands
the
nature
of
the

AirNow report.

don't have sufficient information to answer these questions. I suggest we say, the **TCEQ** has reactivated 65 percent of our monitoring network in the hurricaneaffected areas.

(Insert

EPA

monitoring

data

here

or

explain

cxpian

why

it is

not

available)

The

same

rules

apply

for

start-

up,

shut-

down

activities

however

occur based upon factors related to the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.). Hourly data from the operating ozone monitors in TCEQ's network are used by the EPA to predict air quality. What you are looking at is а

forecast based on

delays may

```
one-
    hour
    (snapshot)
    readings.
    The
    201
    ppb
    you
    referenced
    not
    an
    actual
    monitored
    reading,
    it
    is
    а
    projection.
    TCEQ
    is
    aware
    of
    elevated
    ozone
    levels
    west
    of
    Houston
    which
    is
    not
    unusual
    for
    this
    time
    of
   year.
1)
You
    are
    doing
    air
    monitoring
    at
    the
    Arkema
    plant
    in
```

Crosby. Can you tell me what your monitoring has found? What chemicals in what concentrations? Where are you doing the monitoring exactly? 2) Are EPA/TCEQ monitoring air quality around petrochemical plants and refineries looking for potential problems? Have they deployed any mobile air monitors? (1 gather these are

EPA

working in coordination with TCEQ?) lf so, what have they found in the last few days near the petrochemical plants aroundthe ship channel? If they haven't been monitoring, why not? The startup and shutdown operations typically produce heavier emissions of airborne contaminants, right?

crews

3)

I

saw

an

level of 201 ppb recorded in Houston on Friday on airnow.gov and Andrea Morrow of TCEQ told my colleague Jason Dearen that the reading was recorded as а single hourly max at one monitoring station. Your ozone level for the day (95 ppb) is based on an eight-

hour

ozone

of average, she said. But that does not deny that а single station had that maximum level, correct? What station was it? Can you tell me what hour of the day? Did any other stations Very Unhealty ozon levels on Friday or Saturday? Hourly data from

the operating ozone

monitors in TCEQ's network are used by the EPA to predict air quality. What you are looking at is а forecast based on onehour (snapshot) readings. The 201 ppb you referenced is not an actual monitored reading, it is projection. TCEQ is aware of elevated

> ozone levels west

```
Houston
which
is
not
unusual
for
this
time
of
year.
4)
What
are
the
state
of
Texas
and
the
EPA
doing
to
monitor
public
health
near
the
petrochemical
plants
and
refineries
given
the
extraordinary
shutdown
and
startup
pollution
and
the
possibility
of
contaminants
released
into
their
neighborhoods?
Will
```

of

there
be
health
monitoring?
If
so,
by
whom?

If not, why not?